

## Affections" Make Clara Morris Deplore the Increasing Commercialization That Takes the Money Instead of Getting Even in "The Old

By CLARA MORRIS

(The Famous American Actress.)

OR the cynic this list of financial pun-ishments asked against matrimonial felicity must be a delectable banquet, but to any one of sentiment who loves his own people it brings sorrow and humiliation. The greatest thing in the world put on the damaged goods counter with price tags appended! Ambitions, dreams, lifelong ideals, hopes, everything that stands for family life, carefully calculated in its wreckage, just as you would figure damages on furniture, dishes or potatoes!

What's the matter with us, anyway? Not thus in olden times-no, not even in our own youth-were such matters settled. When Paris meddled with the menage of Menelaus and stole the beautiful Helen that king didn't ask any court of the time to award him her "value" in Homeric-times currency. Instead, he went out to battle, and there followed the siege of Troy that gave the world one of its greatest epic poems.

What is it that has so changed our point of view for the worse? That it is for the worse two of the applicants clearly show they understand. Mr. George Wilder announces that he will give whatever damages he gets from Dr. Willis to the American Red Cross Society.

Incidentally, another Mrs. George Wil-

der, who figured recently in the divorce courts, took the old-fashioned and the proper way of going straight to her own husband with her troubles without embarrassing things by the meddlesome ad-

vice of outsiders.

Mr. Barnes will give whatever he gets from Professor Chessin to the children's Department of the New York Post-Graduate Hospital. Both these offers show a recognition that the "remedy" asked is at least not a heroic one.

Particularly noticeable is the number of cases in which mamma-in-law and papa-in-law and other relatives have been the

meddlers And that's a phase of the matter I'll take up later. In the meantime I want to say that the spectacle of these \$2,000,000 worth of "heart-balm" suits need cause no one to rise up to-day to ask: "Where are we at?" We know. We have struck the bottom of the well. Nor is it that well of pure air and sweet water where Truth sits

Heroic Way"

smiling. No; it is a place dank and foul. It is one thing to be a commercial people, but quite another thing to be commerclalized, as we most surely are coming to be. As rust defaces and destroys iron, so gold may deface and destroy the most precious qualities in human nature-note the passing of bold sincerity and sturdy self-respect from our one-time plain people. We have become money-changers in the temple of life.

Men woo-yes, and win-with dellars. Oh, yes, money is some conversationalist, I don't believe a De Stael could get "a look in" with the wit of a thousand-dollar bill.

Our young girls chatter prices of furs and engagement rings. Mammas keep tab on incomes and total up possible alimony. Quite small children reject gifts sullenly because a companion has more expensive ones. It is this commercial spirit that inspires men and women to bring these ugly allenation suits and try to brace the court for damages as "heart balm"-heaven save the mark! I doubt there is a wounded or

broken heart in this whole waiting crowd. When there is a great throbbing wound in a living heart the owner asks of God or man first darkness and silence in which to agonize in decent privacy.

And the men who bring suit asking money payment for a lost love! Though, to be fair, I suppose the injured husband regards the money as so much blood drawn from the very heart of his

Time was, as I have said, when revenge was not without a certain rough nobility; but to-day, stripped of courage, it has fallen to mere malice. Alienation suits by husbands! What, oh, what has become of that "chastity of honor which felt a stain as a wound?"

The thing that startles us the most is, I think, the glare of light these suits throw upon the dangers surrounding modern married life. The cruelest menace to its continuity is as old as marriage itself, but hitherto it has been veiled from public view by some such term as incompatibility of temper, or general irreconcilableness, or even failure to provide; but here the veil is torn aside and we plainly see what a holy terror an "in-law" can be. The relations of the newly married pair are more dangerous to them than would be an amateur's gathering of wild mushrooms for their dinner.

In the argot of the day these meddlers are many and always on the job.

Mamma, sister, papa, auntie, grandma, pretty fairly places them in the order of their activities as home spoilers, and the reason d'etre of their conduct is that jealousy, which is more cruel than the grave, knowing neither justice nor mercy. Yet these "know-it-alls," these "boss-it-alls," try to deceive themselves by tying on the mask of family affection-and sweetly explain that they "just offer a little advice or gentle admonishment to the dear young ignorant things in order to start them properly."

Start nothing! When young animals mate and seek a new lair Tiger Mamma doesn't come snuffing about to tell them where to place the bedding-or Very young birds mate and build their nests, and no feathered mother, real or "in-law," twitters a single twitter of criti-cism or advice. All these young things are left to go it alone. It is only in young human beings that the instinct of homemaking is supposed to be nil, according to the dear meddler.

The most trying year in life is the first one of marriage. It may prove to be sweet, sacredly precious, soddenly bitter or secretly tragic. But ever and always, it must be trying! Pitfalls are none the less pitfalls because hidden with rose leaves. But if tears fall-rand fall they will-warm lips will kiss them away. strained nerves snap-and snap they will -why, sharp words, like many other things, simply mean forgiveness, and forgiveness, and forgiveness, if they are left

alone with no interfering friend to advise.
"Don't you give in first, my dear. Right or wrong, never give in to a man or it ruins him"—thus to the bride.

And to him: "You want to be master now, right from the start. Well, suppose she is crying? Don't you go coaxing for-giveness or you'll spoil her sure," etc., etc.,

As such a record of broken vows, hearts and homes would at least prove what a bull in the china shop of love a meddler is, and perhaps public opinion, if not the law, might make a separate domicile, how-ever modest, obligatory for the first year of married life. Relations ought to be prohibited from entering the home during this troublesome first year.

The \$2,000,000 Love Suits.

The following list, comprising \$2,000,000 worth of Supreme Court of New York, is what prompted Clara Morris to write this article.

Telemon Cuyler vs. Isabella T. Bartin, \$500,000 damages. Brownie R. Weaverson vs. Caroline W. Frame, \$250,000. Max F. Kleist vs. Edward N. Bressing, \$250,000. Max F. Kleist vs. Edward N. Bresung \$250,000.
William B. Blackwell vs. John Mayer, Jr., \$200,000.
Marshall S. Bayney vs. Alexander S. Chassin, \$100,000.
Edna L. Brothers vs. Brainard Avery et al., \$100,000.
Constance H. Boggs vs. John G. Boggs et al., \$100,000.
Maude T Thompson vs. Louise A. Thompson, \$100,000.
Emille B. Risley vs. May E. Hastings, \$100,000.
Henrietta R. Hanson vs. Amaiee L. Hanson, \$100,000.
Margaret McAlister vs. Loretta McAlister, \$50,000. Margaret McAlister vs. Loretta McAlister, \$50,000.

Eugene S. Van Riper vs. Frank H. Ray and wife, \$50,000.

George H. Wilder vs. George S. Willis, \$50,000.

Ina Caron vs. Herman Morda, \$25,000. Elizabeth E. Armstrong vs. Pearl Abbott, \$25,000. Total, \$2,000,000.

Here is a suggestion that might reduce the number of alienation suits.

Relatives harass the young couple from jealousy that one they love can find happiness with a mere outsider—also from the sheer love of bossing. That is clear enough. "But, why—" people often wonder and ask of one another.

"Why do two loving, clear-headed young permit anyone to interfere with their affairs (no one has such a right), and why does not the husband assert him-self?"

Well, you see, the bride and groom are very far from being "clear-headed." Their illusions are still with them, their eyes are so dim with dreams that they have not yet seen each other as plain every-day man and woman. They are not in a norma state of mind. They are nervous, exalte sensitive, and demand of life nothing short of perfect happiness. Of adapting, of giving and taking, of forgiving, they have as

only for weeks. (Make a mark right there with the thumbnail, please.) Now when mamma comes upon the scene she generally appears as a "Greek bearing gifts," with the usual re-

sults. Just note the woman's advantages. From birth this bride has been her constant study. She knows every turn and twist of her mind, every good point, évery weak trait, every

strong trait in her char-(Refer to that thumbnail mark

Mrs. George Wilder

above.)
Then there is the inborn, ingrained respect, sometimes reverence, that a child feels for a mother. The affection, in-stinctive and cultivated, tinged with gratitude, that makes it almost impossible for a daughter to hold out in stern opposition against her—even when she knows her mother to be an obstacle to her domestic peace Add to these her real knowledge of housekeeping, and then set all this over against the general ignorance of the bride and the groom's ignorance of his wife's character, and tell me what chance the

youngsters have to get away with it all.

It's mighty hard for a man to set his face like a flint against his wife's mother or sister-especially when one or the other of them has first informed said wife that a range\_often possesses things called dampers that are of considerable use at timesor some like service.

When mamma's innuendoes get on the they have known each little wife's nerves-and she knows she is growing exigent and trying-she just can't that incessant dropper of hints, her own mother! Heaven forbid!

And if there's been a quarrel, and mother-in-law is there, what a tragedy! Love, who knows the two would make up if left alone, tries to push her out. She just can't help making things worse.

To marry an only child (mark that three in family) is to place a prohibitory tariff on your own domestic happiness, because in the case of an only child mamma and papa will both meddle.

Again, there is husband and wife tete-a-tete. All is well. Enter Mr. "Meddler"the party of the third part-and trouble doth ensue. Certainly three is not a lucky number in its relation to love and mar-

But the question of the moment is-Will the court give gilt-edged damages in these alienation suits? In that case they may possibly act as a

brake on that too-exuberant gallantry that is without conscience, but not wholly free from financial considerations. Even a gilded sinner might hesitate to flutter the dovecote of his closest friend if it was likely to cost him an eighth of a million

If the alienation should cause Don Juan to cross over to the other side it would not be utterly without credit.

But the meddlers, like the poor, are always with us, and, alas! it is probable they always will be. Oh, let the newly married have a year-

that trying first year. If young married life is ever to become old married life, Love must find the way.

So give him a chance. And as Love and Honor are the two great wings that lift us into high, clear spaces, let us not weigh them to helplessness with gold.

## How to Make More Boys and Girls Stay on the Farm

OUNTRY children should be taught in terms of country life," declares W. T. Hodges in a bulletin just issued by the United States Bureau of Education, in order to make them stay on the farm."

In order to discover some of the definite things that have been done in rual public schools toward infusing into both parents and pupils the "stay-on-the-farm" spirit, Mr. Hodges sent 3,500 letters to superintendents throughout the country who have rural schools under their supervision. From the replies many suggestions of special value have been received. Farm arithmetic, farm geography and

Copyright, 1914, by the Star Company. Great Britain Rights Reserved.

textbooks in agriculture have been secureed by Berks County, Pennsylvania; Newton County, Missouri, has succeeded in making the school a community centre by having work done to supplement that done at home and by inducing patrons to visit the schools. The latter is accomplished by the "old farm-wagon and big-dinner" plan, whereby as many as 2,000 persons in the community have visited one school on a certai nday in the year.

A teacher of Warren County, Indiana

who gave her pupils the "option of work in agriculture or Virgil" was astonished to find that in practically every instance the students took both subjects, and the grades rose beyond anything ever before experienced.

We have improvised domestic science teaching by means of coal-oil stoves and kitchen utensils which the patrons of the school gave through a 'kitchen shower,' says an enthusiastic teacher from Chester Township, Ohio. A teacher who was not a graduate in domestic science, but who had "grit and determination," put the girls of this school to work in preparing hot lunches which were sold at a few

Plainville Township, Connecticut, selects one school in each village and makes of it a "model school" to demonstrate what a rural school under ordinary conditions may become. The result has been that the standard in all the schools in the courty has been elevated.

